REPORT OF THE UTILITIES DEPARTMENT

of

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 96-005-E
DUKE POWER COMPANY

REPORT OF UTILITIES DEPARTMENT PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA DOCKET NO. 96-005-E DUKE POWER COMPANY

INDEX OF FUEL REPORT

D		
Report of Fuel	Adjustment Analysis	1-6
Exhibit 1	Power Plant Performance Data Report	7
Exhibit 2A	Nuclear Unit Outage Report	8-9
Exhibit 2B	Fossil Unit Outage Report	10
Exhibit 3	Generation Mix	11
Exhibit 4	Generation Statistics of Major Plants	12
Exhibit 5	Retail Comparison of MWH Sales	13
Exhibit 6	Retail Comparison of Fuel Costs	14
Exhibit 7	Retail Comparison of Fuel Costs (Graph)-	15
Exhibit 8	Adjustment for Fuel Costs Clause	16
Exhibit 9	History of Cumulative Recovery Account	17
Exhibit 10	Projections of the Cumulative Recovery	
	Account for the Six Month Period Ending	
	November 1996	-18

REPORT OF UTILITIES DEPARTMENT

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 96-005-E

DUKE POWER COMPANY

REPORT OF FUEL ADJUSTMENT ANALYSIS

Scope of Examination

The Commission's Utilities Department Staff analyzed the Company's procedures and practices pertaining to its fuel related operations. Staff's examination consisted of the following:

- 1) Review of the Company's monthly fuel reports including:
 - a) Power Plant Performance Data Reports
 - b) Major Unit Outage Reports
 - c) Generation Mix
 - d) Generation Statistics
 - e) Retail Comparison of MWH Sales
 - f) Retail Comparison of Fuel Costs
- 2) On-site inspection of the Company's coal quality sampling technique.
- 3) Review of the Company's methodology used to estimate fuel costs.
- 4) Review of the Company's currently approved Adjustment for Fuel Costs Tariff.
- 5) History of Cumulative Recovery Account
- 6) Calculation of fuel costs to be included in the base rates June through November 1996.

REVIEW OF COMPANY'S MONTHLY FUEL REPORTS

Duke Power Company (the Company) files with this Commission monthly reports detailing power plant performance, major unit outages, generation mix and other reports which provide the Staff pertinent data on which to evaluate the Company's fuel purchases and usage.

The Power Plant Performance Data Report Summary for fossil and nuclear plants is shown on Exhibit No. 1. It includes a listing of the individual units with their capacity factors and equivalent availability factors for each month in the period. These factors are expressed as percentages. These percentages are a useful index which can highlight or identify problems or unusual occurrences.

The Company's Nuclear Unit Outage Report, Exhibit No. 2A considers each outage experienced by a unit, giving the inclusive dates of the outage, hours down, type outage (scheduled or forced), the reason for the outage and corrective action taken. This information covers the period being considered in this proceeding. Staff compiled this data through a review of Company documents, NRC fillings and interviews with Company personnel.

The Company's Base Load Fossil Unit Outage Report, Exhibit No. 2B shows each outage of the base load fossil fired plants of 100 hours or more giving the month of the outage, hours down, type outage, the reason for the outage and corrective action taken. This information covers the period being considered in this proceeding.

Staff reviewed and compiled a percentage Generation Mix statistic sheet for the Company's fossil, nuclear and hydraulic plants for October 1995 through March 1996. The

ON-SITE INSPECTION OF COMPANY'S COAL QUALITY SAMPLING TECHNIQUES

Company's fuel sampling procedure for coal consists of identification of each train car by specific shipper, point of origin and producer. A sample is taken from each car while and is then crushed and placed in a sealed unloading sample is then sent to the laboratory container. The analyzed for moisture, ash, BTU and sulfur content. results of this testing are used to determine the actual price the Company will pay for the coal it received. The price could vary from the contracted price depending upon whether the quality of the coal, such as BTU content, is higher or lower than the level stipulated in the agreement. This cost does not include any non-fuel cost or coal handling cost at the generating plant. Staff has observed this procedure for fuel sampling and has found this procedure to be adequate at this time.

REVIEW OF THE COMPANY'S METHODOLOGY USED TO ESTIMATE FUEL COSTS

estimate fuel costs for this period. Total generation is developed by System Planning, and system sales and South Carolina retail sales are obtained from the Company's Forecasting Department. First the nuclear generation for each unit is estimated for each month in the six month period after considering any scheduled outages. Secondly hydro generation is estimated based on median hydro. Next a small amount of generation is estimated from combustion turbines and also a

small amount of purchased power is included. The balance of the generation comes from the Company's coal stations.

The generation is then priced, generally using current fuel costs. If a nuclear unit is being refueled, costs expected after the refueling are used for that unit.

REVIEW OF THE COMPANY'S CURRENTLY APPROVED RETAIL ADJUSTMENT FOR FUEL COSTS TARIFF

The Staff has reviewed the Company's currently approved Retail Adjustment for Fuel Costs Tariff, Exhibit No. 8, and determined that it has performed as intended and continues to be an adequate methodology for calculating the appropriate fuel costs.

HISTORY OF THE CUMULATIVE RECOVERY ACCOUNT

Exhibit No. 9 is a history of the cumulative recovery account.

CALCULATION OF BASE RATE FUEL COST COMPONENT FOR JUNE 1996 THROUGH NOVEMBER 1996.

Utilizing the currently projected sales and fuel cost figures for the period June 1996 through November 1996 and including the projected under-recovered balance in the cumulative recovery account as of May 1996 of \$1,161,327 (See Accounting Exhibit G) the average fuel expense is estimated to be 1.0445 ¢/KWH. The Commission has consistently expressed its expectation that the Company would exercise all reasonable prudence and efficiency in its fuel purchasing practices and aggressively control the operation and maintenance of their production facilities to assure the most reasonable fuel costs

possible. The Commission has directed the Staff to monitor the Company's plant operations and fuel purchasing to ensure that any inefficiency or negligent practice is brought to their attention. Exhibit No. 10 is a table of Projections of the Cumulative Recovery Account for various fuel base levels for the six month period ending November 1996. Also indicated in the table are the projected results using the current fuel base component and the Company's proposed factor of 1.0000 ¢/KWH.

DUKE POWER COMPANY

POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE DATA (%) REPORT

UNIT CAPACITY FACTOR	MA	LITEE	YEAR 1992	YEAR 1993	TEAR 1994	YEAR 1995	OCT 1995	NOV 1995	DEC 1995	JAN 1996	FEB 1995	MAR 1996	
CATAWBA 1 CATAWBA 2 MCGUIRE 1 MCGUIRE 2 OCONEE 1 OCONEE 2 OCONEE 2	1129 1129 1129 1129 846 846	4438644	788888 788888	F 8 7 8 8 2 8	8888888	888888	102 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	103 00 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 1	102 103 101 101 101	28 E L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	
TOTAL	7054	72	82	82	83	88	88	2	88	88	ᅜ	8	
,													
EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR	MW RATIING	APR 1995	MAY 1995	JUN 1995	Jul. 1995	AUG 1995	FB 55	1995	NOV 1995	DBC 1995	JAN 1996	FEB 1996	MAR 1996
BELEWS CREEK 1 BELEWS CREEK 2 CLIFFSIDE 5 MARSFALL 3 MARSFALL 4	1120 1120 562 660 660	8888	8888%	28888	88288	85888	8 2 2 2 2 8 2 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8	08228	88888	¥8887V	84888	26 0 8 1 00 1 00 1 00 1 00 1 00 1 00 1 00	80886
TOTAL	4122	55	57	76	66	88	8	\$	83	8	79	88	69
CATAVBA 1 CATAVBA 2 MOGJIRE 1 MOGJIRE 2 OCONEE 1 OCONEE 2 OCONEE 3	1129 1129 1129 1129 846 846	99 100 79 87 87 100	8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1388888	88%5888	8888888	8888888	8888888	808888	8438688	882288	8885855	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
TOTAL	7054	26	98	88	88	66	62	98	72	81	88	68	95
							į						

DUKE POWER COMPANY NUCLEAR UNIT OUTAGE REPORT

McGuire 1	REASON FOR OUTAGE AND CORRECTIVE ACTION	Reactor Coolant Pump tripped due to defective surge protector.	Refueling Outage - EOC 10 New McGuire record.	Overheated Reactor Coolant Pump Bearing.	Reactor stayed critical while the generator was off-line to repair hydrogen leak.	McGuire 2	Head Vent Valve leak.
	HOURS/TYPE*	98.16/F	1025.04/8	37.2/F	20.16/F		188.16/F
	DATE ON	10/02/95	01/25/96	02/02/96	02/11/96		12/23/95
	DATE OFF	09/27/95	12/14/95	02/03/96	02/10/96		12/15/95
	NO	٠ ٠	2.	က်			÷

Oconee 1	REASON FOR OUTAGE AND CORRECTIVE ACTION	Refueling Outage - EOC 16 New Oconee Record.	Electronic Feedwater Module failed.	Oconee 2		Oconee 3	Faulty relay sent erroneous signal during testing, turning off feedwater pumps.	Catawba 1	Reactor trip breaker indicated no voltage across contacts.	Catawba 2	Refueling Outage – EOC 7 Delays due to heat exchanger & valve leaks.	Electrical fault caused loss of off-site power.	
	HOURS/TYPE*	916.08/S	26.88/F				231.12/F		91.2/F		1302.96/S	281.04/F	
	DATE ON	12/09/95	02/29/96				03/26/96		01/09/96		11/29/95	02/18/96	
	DATE OFF	11/02/95	02/28/96				03/16/96		01/05/96		10/06/95	05/06/96	
	No.	÷	2.		None		ij		÷		, i	2.	

* F=Forced S=Scheduled

DUKE POWER COMPANY FOSSIL UNIT OUTAGE REPORT (100 HOURS OR GREATER DURATION)

MONTH	NAME	HRS/TYPE*	REASON FOR OUTAGE AND CORRECTIVE ACTION
Oct 95	Allen 2 Allen 3 Allen 5 Belews 1 Lee 1 Lee 3 Marshall 2 Marshall 4	116/F 151/F 145/F 721/F 197/S 104/S 384/S 159/F	Induced draft fan repairs. Voltage circuit breaker repairs. Control valve leakage repair. Stator windings, bushings & terminals. Boiler inspections. Boiler inspections. Furner inspection & repair. Furnace wall tube leak repair.
Nov 95	Belews 1	131/F	Hydrogen cooling system piping & valves.
	Belews 2	147/S	Electrostatic precipitator fouling.
	Marshall 1	472/S	Mechanical precipitator problem.
	Riverbend 7	210/S	Burner inspection & repair.
Dec 95	Allen 4	198/F	Economizer tube leak.
	Cliffside 5	100/F	Induced draft fan controls.
	Marshall 4	280/S	Boiler recirculation pumps.
Jan 96	Allen 4	131/S	Outage Controls Upgrade.
	Allen 5	237/F	Superheat economizer leak repair.
	Belews 2	109/S	Replace and modify boiler tubes.
Feb 96	Allen 1	202/F	Bearing repair.
	Allen 4	696/F	Boiler overhaul.
	Belews 2	696/S	Replace and modify boiler tubes.
	Marshall 3	126/S	Feedwater valve work.
Mar 96	Allen 4	744/S	Boiler overhaul.
	Belews 2	744/S	Replace and modify boiler tubes.
	Lee 2	744/S	Gland seal system.
	Marshall 1	134/S	Electrostatic precipitator problems.
Type*	S-scheduled	F-forced	pa

DOCKET NO. 96-005-E UTILITIES DEPARTMENT EXHIBIT NO. 3

DUKE POWER COMPANY

GENERATION MIX

PERCENTAGE

MONTH	YR	FOSSIL	NUCLEAR	HYDRO
OCTOBER	95	31	66	3
NOVEMBER		44	53	3
DECEMBER		42	56	2
JANUARY	96	41	56	3
FEBRUARY		33	63	4
MARCH		30	67	3

DOCKET NO. 96-005-E UTILITIES DEPARTMENT EXHIBIT NO. 4

DUKE POWER COMPANY

GENERATION STATISTICS OF MAJOR PLANTS

OCTOBER 1, 1995 - MARCH 31, 1996

	=======================================			=
PLANT	TYPE FUEL	AVERAGE FUEL COST (¢/kwh)	GENERATION (MWH)	,
Cliffside 5	Coal	1.58	1,560,100	
Belews Creek	Coal	1.51	5,218,100	
Marshall	Coal	1.45	6,549,200	
McGuire	Nuclear	0.57	8,315,000	
Catawba	Nuclear	0.56	7,966,600	
Oconee	Nuclear	0.54	10,130,200	

1 1

DUKE POWER COMPANY

SOUTH CAROLINA RETAIL COMPARISON OF ESTIMATED TO ACTUAL ENERGY SALES

	JAN FEB MAR TOTAL	10,272,580	10,279,841	-7,261	~-07%
	MAR	1,741,213	1,650,074	91,139	5.52%
	FEB	1,533,244 1,812,206 1,712,399 1,770,331	1,685,161 1,607,244 1,654,165 1,840,042 1,843,155 1,650,074	-72,824	-3.95%
1996	JAN	1,712,399	1,840,042	-127,643	-6.94%
	DEC	1,812,206	1,654,165	158,041	9.55%
	NOV		1,607,244	-74,000	-4.60%
1995	OCT NOV	1,703,187	1,685,161	18,026	1.07%
		[1] ESTIMATED SALES [MWH]	[2] ACTUAL SALES [MWH]	[3] AMOUNT DIFFERENCE [1]-[2]	[4] PERCENT DIFFERENCE [3]/[2]

DUKE POWER COMPANY

SOUTH CAROLINA RETAIL COMPARISON OF PROJECTED TO ACTUAL FUEL COSTS [CENTS PER KWH]

	MAR ————————————————————————————————————	0.9053	1.0000	32%
	FEB 0.9104	0.8647	1.0000	5.29%
1996	JAN 1.0767	1.0782	1.0000	14%
	DEC 1.0804	1.1484	1.0000	-5.92%
	NOV 1.0546	1.1367	1.0000	-7.22%
1995	OCT NOV DEC JAN FEB MAR 0.9382 1.0546 1.0804 1.0767 0.9104 0.9024	0.9291	1,0000	% 86°
ļ	ORIGINAL PROJECTION	ACTUAL EXPERIENCE	AMOUNT IN BASE	VARIANCE FROM ACTUAL [1-2]/[2]
	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]

67 DUKE POWER COMPANY Q) Q) Projected To Actual Fuel Costs **3**96 Z Ç. Nî Ç. Z PROJECTED E ACTUAL J S 1.501 1.40-0.00-1,30-1.00 0.60 b EK CEML2 **K**電出

ADJUSTMENT FOR FUEL COSTS

APPLICABILITY

This adjustment is applicable to and is a part of the Utility's South Carolina retail electric rate schedules.

The Public Service Commission has determined that the costs of Fuel in an amount to the nearest one ten-thousandth of a cent, as determined by the following formula, will be included in the base rates to the extent determined reasonable and proper by the Commission for the succeeding six months or shorter period:

 $F = \frac{E}{S} + \frac{G}{S_1}$

Where:

F = Fuel cost per kilowatt-hour included in base rate, rounded to the nearest one ten-thousandth of a cent.

E = Total Projected system Fuel costs:

(A) Fuel consumed in the Utility's own plants and the Utility's share of fuel consumed in jointly owned or leased plants. The cost of fossil fuel shall include no items other than those listed in Account 151 of the Commission's Uniform System of Accounts for Public Utilities and Licensees. The cost of nuclear fuel shall be that as shown in Account 518 excluding rental payments on leased nuclear fuel and except that, if Account 518 also contains any expense for fossil fuel which has already been included in the cost of fossil fuel, it shall be deducted from this account.

Plus

(B) Purchased power fuel costs such as those incurred in unit power and Limited Term power purchases where the fuel costs associated with energy purchased are identifiable and are identified in the billing statement.

Plus

(C) Interchange power fuel costs such as Short Term, Economy and other where the energy is purchased on economic dispatch basis.

Energy receipts that do not involve money payments such as Diversity energy and payback of storage energy are not defined as purchased or interchange power relative to this fuel calculation.

Minus

(D) The cost of fuel recovered through intersystem sales including the fuel costs related to economy energy sales and other energy sold on an economic dispatch basis.

Energy deliveries that do not involve billing transactions such as Diversity energy and payback of storage are not defined as sales relative to this fuel calculation.

S = Projected system kilowatt-hour sales excluding any intersystem sales.

G = Cumulative difference between jurisdictional fuel revenues billed and fuel expenses at the end of the month preceding the projected period utilized in E and S.

S₁ = Projected jurisdictional kilowatt-hour sales for the period covered by the fuel costs included in E.

The appropriate revenue-related tax factor is to be included in these calculations.

The fuel cost F as determined by SCPSC Order No. 95-1707 for the period December 1995 through May 1996 is 1.000 cent per kilowatt-hour.

DUKE POWER COMPANY HISTORY OF CUMULATIVE RECOVERY ACCOUNT

PERIOD E	NDING				OVER (UNDER)\$
MAY 1979	- Automatic	Fuel	Adjustment	in	Effect
November	1979				1,398,442
May 1980	•				11,322,948
November	1980				4,588,331
May 1981					(5,760,983)
November	1981				(13,061,000)
May 1982					(14,533,577)
November	1982				(4,314,612)
May 1983					20,915,390
November	1983				14,192,297
May 1984					18,245,503
November	1984				14,478,363
May 1985					2,551,115
November	1985				(553,465)
May 1986					(1,318,767)
November	1986				(29,609,992)
May 1987					(27,241,846)
November	1987				(29,329,168)
May 1988					(9,373,768)
November	1988				6,544,914
May 1989					6,067,739
November	1989				11,372,399
May 1990					15,421,968
November	1990				2,939,303
May 1991					17,068,483
November	1991				21,265,000
May 1992					21,080,856
November	1992				11,553,801
May 1993					16,959,555
November	1993				221,606
May 1994					6,609,897
November	1994				1,037,659
May 1995					5,088,619
November	1995				(377,507)

DOCKET NO. 96-005-E UTILITIES DEPARTMENT EXHIBIT NO. 10

DUKE POWER COMPANY

SOUTH CAROLINA RETAIL PROJECTIONS OF THE CUMULATIVE RECOVERY ACCOUNT FOR THE SIX MONTH PERIOD ENDING NOVEMBER 1996

*****	===	FUEL BASE	PROJECTED CUMULATIVE OVER\(UNDER) RECOVERY [\$]
		.8000 .8250 .8500 .8750 .9000 .9250 .9500	(26,602,026) (23,882,166) (21,162,306) (18,442,446) (15,722,585) (13,002,725) (10,282,865) (7,563,005)
CURRENT FACTOR COMPANY PROPOSED		1.0000 1.0000 1.0250	(4,843,145) (4,843,145) (2,123,284)
ZERO UNDER ZERO OVER	>> >>	1.0445 1.0446 1.0500 1.0750 1.1000 1.1250 1.1500 1.1750 1.2000	(2,123,284) (1,793) 9,086 596,576 3,316,436 6,036,296 8,756,157 11,476,017 14,195,877 16,915,737